arXiv:1410.5988v1 [math.DG] 22 Oct 2014

Higher Spectral Flow for Dirac Operators with Local Boundary Conditions

Jianqing YU*

Abstract

We consider a gauge invariant one parameter family of families of fiberwise twisted Dirac type operators on a fiberation with the typical fiber an even dimensional compact manifold with boundary, i.e., a family $\{D_u\}, u \in [0,1]$ with $D_1 = gD_0g^{-1}$ for a suitable unitary automorphism g of the twisted bundle. Suppose all the operators D_u are imposed with a certain *local elliptic* boundary condition F and $D_{u,F}$ is the self-adjoint extension of D_u. We establish a formula for the higher spectral flow of $\{D_{u,F}\}$, $u \in [0,1]$. Our result generalizes a recent result of Gorokhovsky and Lesch to the families case.

1 Introduction

The spectral flow for a curve of self-adjoint Fredholm operators is introduced by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1], and is an integer that counts with signs the number of eigenvalues passing through zero from the start of the curve to its end. (Throughout the paper a family always means a continuous and sometimes smooth family, and a curve always means a one parameter family.) In [22], Prokhorova computed the spectral flow on a compact planar domain for a curve which is invariant by a scalar gauge transformation of Dirac type operators imposed with a certain local boundary condition. In a recent paper [14], based on the heat kernel approach, Gorokhovsky and Lesch extended the results of [22] to the case of arbitrary compact even dimensional manifolds with boundary.

As a natural extension of the classical spectral flow to the families case, Dai and Zhang introduced the higher spectral flow in [9, 11] for a curve of families of Dirac type operators parameterized by a compact space, which

*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China. (jianqing@ustc.edu.cn)

takes values in the K-group of the parameter space, with the help of the notation of spectral sections introduced by Melrose-Piazza [21]. The higher spectral flow and spectral sections have since then found other significant applications; see [17], [18], [19], [4], etc.

It is a natural question to generalize the result of Gorokhovsky-Lesch [14] to the families case. We recall that Gorokhovsky and Lesch essentially used the Getzler formula [13] to reduce the problem to the computation of the heat kernel on a half-cylinder. Our first observation is that by combining the arguments in [14] with the heat kernel computations in [11, Section 4], one can obtain a families version of [14, Theorem 3.3], which expresses the Chern character of the higher spectral flow of the families of Dirac type operators imposed with local boundary conditions in terms of that of the families of boundary operators. However, the obtained formula fails to hold on the level of K-theory, since taking the Chern character might kill some torsion elements involved in the higher spectral flow.

It is the purpose of the present paper to establish the desired formula in full generality on K-theoretic level; see Theorem 3.1. The general idea here is inspired by Dai-Zhang [10] and is to reduce the problem to the calculations on families of finite cylinders through a splitting type formula of the higher spectral flow. The deduction of such a splitting formula is essentially a modification of [10, Section 3], which is based on the beautiful idea of Bunke [8] in his approach to the splitting formula for η-invariants.

As in [11, Section 4], the higher spectral flow we consider here also gives an interpretation of the index bundle of a Toeplitz type family associated to the family of Dirac type operators with a local boundary condition. Thus, our result can be thought of as an odd analogue of a theorem of Freed [12, Theorem B] which expresses the families index of the Dirac type operators on odd dimensional fibers imposed with a local boundary condition in terms of that of the boundary operators.

We indicate here that even though our results are stated for spin manifolds, both the statements and proofs extend without difficulty to the more general case when there are smoothly varying $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian Clifford modules over the fibers.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the local boundary conditions, spectral sections and the higher spectral flow, and introduce a Toeplitz type family whose index bundle can be interpreted as a higher spectral flow. In Section 3, we state our main result which extends [14, Theorem 3.3] to the families case, and provide a proof.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the China Postdoc-

toral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2014M551805). The author is indebted to Professors Xianzhe Dai and Weiping Zhang for helpful suggestions.

2 Local boundary conditions and higher spectral flow

2.1 Local boundary conditions for a family of Dirac type operators

Let $\pi : M \to S$ be a smooth fibration with the typical fiber an even dimensional compact manifold Y with boundary Z. We assume S is compact. We fix a connection for this fibration which amounts to a splitting

$$TM = TY \oplus T^H M, \tag{2.1}$$

where TY and $T^H M$ denote the vertical and the horizontal bundles, respectively. We also have the identification $T^H M = \pi^* TS$.

Let g^{TY} be a metric on TY. We choose a Riemannian metric g^{TS} on S, and let g^{TM} be the metric on TM corresponding to (2.1) defined by the orthogonal sum

$$g^{TM} = g^{TY} \oplus \pi^* g^{TS}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let ∇^{TM} be the Levi-Civita connection associated to (TM, g^{TM}). We denote by P^{TY} the orthogonal projection of TM onto TY. Following Bismut [5], let ∇^{TY} be the connection on TY defined by $\nabla^{TY} = P^{TY} \nabla^{TM} P^{TY}$. Then ∇^{TY} preserves g^{TY}, and is independent of the choice of g^{TS}.

For any $b \in S$ and any vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over M, we set

$$Y_b = \pi^{-1}(b),\ Z_b = \partial Y_b, \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_b = \mathcal{E}|_{Y_b}.$$

Assuming that $\mathcal{E}$ carries a metric $g^{\mathcal{E}}$ and a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$, we denote by $\mathbf{H}^p(Y_b, \mathcal{E}_b)$, $p \geq 0$ the space of sections of $\mathcal{E}_b$ over Y_b which lie in the p-th Sobolev space. When b runs through S, we get a Hilbert bundle $\mathbf{H}^p(Y, \mathcal{E})$, $p \geq 0$ which will be called the p-th Sobolev bundle associated to $(g^{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}})$.

We make the assumption that TY is spin and carries a fixed spin structure. Let $S(TY)$ be the Hermitian bundle of spinors associated to (TY, g^{TY}) with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $S(TY) = S_+(TY) \oplus S_-(TY)$. Then ∇^{TY} induces naturally a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{S(TY)}$ on $S(TY)$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

Let E be a complex vector bundle over M, which carries a Hermitian metric g^E and a Hermitian connection ∇^E. We denote by $c(\cdot)$ the Clifford action on $S(TY)$. Then it extends to an action $c(\cdot) \otimes \mathrm{id}|_E$ on $S(TY) \otimes E$,

which we still denote by $c(\cdot)$. Let $\nabla^{S(TY)\otimes E}$ be the tensor product connection on $S(TY)\otimes E$ induced by $\nabla^{S(TY)}$ and ∇^{E}.

For any $b\in S$, one has a canonically defined formally self-adjoint twisted Dirac operator which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_b^Y = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim Y} c(e_i)\nabla^{S(TY)\otimes E}_{e_i} : \\ \Gamma(Y_b, S(TY)_b\otimes E_b) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y_b, S(TY)_b\otimes E_b), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim Y}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $(TY_b, g^{TY}|_{Y_b})$. We denote by $D^Y_{b,\pm}$ the restrictions of D^Y_b on $\Gamma(Y_b, S_\pm(TY)_b\otimes E_b)$. When b runs through S, we obtain smooth families $D^Y=\{D^Y_b\}_{b\in S}$ and $D^Y_\pm=\{D^Y_{b,\pm}\}_{b\in S}$.

Let $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ denote the inward unit normal vector field perpendicular to Z. Set $J=c(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})$. Let $S(TZ)$ be the spinor bundle of TZ, which carries a natural Hermitian metric induced by $g^{TZ}:=g^{TY}|_{TZ}$. We identify $S(TZ)$ with $S_+(TY)|_Z$ isometrically. Also, the Clifford action $\widetilde{c}(v)$ on $S(TZ)\otimes E|_Z$ can be specified by $-Jc(v)$ for $v\in TZ$.

Using the orthogonal decomposition induced by (2.2),

$$g^{TM}\big|_{T\partial M} = g^{TZ}\oplus(\pi|_{\partial M})^* g^{TS}, \tag{2.4}$$

we define a connection ∇^{TZ} on TZ, which induces a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{S(TZ)}$ on $S(TZ)$. Let $D^Z=\{D^Z_b\}_{b\in S}$ be the family of canonically defined twisted Dirac operators on $S(TZ)\otimes E|_Z$ as in (2.3).

Let $D=\{D_b\}_{b\in S}$ be a smooth family of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators in the sense of [7, Definition 3.1] on $\{Y_b\}_{b\in S}$. We define the *local boundary conditions* for D as in [14, Section 3.1].

For a smooth family of invertible and self-adjoint endomorphisms F_b of $E|_{Z_b}$, $b\in S$, set (see [14, (3.3)])

$$\mathrm{dom}(D_{b,F_b}) = \big\{ s\in \mathbf{H}^1(Y_b, S(TY)_b\otimes E_b) \,\big|\, s_-|_{Z_b} = JF_b s_+|_{Z_b}\big\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $s=s_+\oplus s_-$ splits with respect to the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

By [14, Proposition 3.1], we know that for every $b\in S$,

$$D_{b,F_b} = D_b\big|_{\mathrm{dom}(D_{b,F_b})} : \mathrm{dom}(D_{b,F_b}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b\otimes E_b) \tag{2.6}$$

is a self-adjoint Fredholm operator. Furthermore, its spectrum is discrete and each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity. For the sake of simplicity, we will say $D_F=\{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$ is the realization of D imposed with the local boundary condition $F=\{F_b\}_{b\in S}$.

2.2 The higher spectral flow for families of Dirac operators with local boundary conditions

Let $D = \{D_b\}_{b\in S}$ be a family of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators. As in Section 2.1, we impose on D a local boundary condition $F = \{F_b\}_{b\in S}$ and denote its self-adjoint realization by $D_F = \{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$.

We first recall the notation of spectral sections introduced by Melrose-Piazza [21] in their study of the families index of Dirac operators on manifolds with boundary.

Definition 2.1 (cf. [21, Definition 1])**.** A spectral section for the family $D_F = \{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$ is a family of self-adjoint projections P_b on $\mathrm{dom}(D_{b,F_b})$ such that for some smooth function $R : S \to \mathbb{R}$ and every $b \in S$,

$$D_{b,F_b}s = \lambda s \Rightarrow \begin{cases} P_b s = s, & \text{if} \quad \lambda > R(b), \\ P_b s = 0, & \text{if} \quad \lambda < -R(b). \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

The existence of a spectral section of $D_F = \{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$ is guaranteed by the following assumption; see [21, Proposition 1].

Basic Assumption 2.2. We assume that the canonical family D^Y imposed with the local boundary condition F has vanishing index bundle, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Ind}(D^Y_F) = 0 \quad \text{in } K^1(S). \tag{2.8}$$

In what follows, we always fixed a local boundary condition F, and make Basic Assumption 2.2.

From Definition 2.1, one infers that any two spectral sections of D_F differ by a family of finite rank operators. Further, the generalized spectral section, which can be thought of as a fiberwise compact perturbation of a spectral section, was introduced by Dai and Zhang [10, Definition 2.1] in their study of the splitting of the families index.

Definition 2.3 (cf. [10, Definition 2.1])**.** A generalized spectral section for the family $D_F = \{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$ is a family of self-adjoint projections $Q = \{Q_b\}_{b\in S}$ such that Q_b is a compact perturbation of P_b for $b \in S$, where $P = \{P_b\}_{b\in S}$ is a (in fact any) spectral section of D_F.

Let Q, Q' be two generalized spectral sections of D_F. For $b \in S$, set

$$T_b(Q, Q') = Q'_b Q_b : \mathrm{Im}(Q_b) \to \mathrm{Im}(Q'_b). \tag{2.9}$$

Since Q differs by a family of compact operators from Q', by [3, Proposition 3.2], $T(Q,Q') = \{T_b(Q,Q')\}_{b\in S}$ defines a family of Fredholm operators. Thus according to Atiyah-Singer [2], it determines an element

$$[Q-Q'] := \operatorname{Ind} T(Q,Q') \in K(S). \tag{2.10}$$

We now recall the definition of the higher spectral flow of Dai-Zhang (cf. [11, Definition 1.5, Theorem 1.11]) for families of Dirac type operators imposed with local boundary conditions.

Consider a curve of families of formally self-adjoint Dirac operators $D_u = \{D_{u,b}\}_{b\in S}$, $u \in [0,1]$. We impose on D_u, $u \in [0,1]$ the local boundary condition F and denote its realization by $D_{u,F} = \{D_{u,b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$.

Let Q_0, Q_1 be spectral sections of $D_{0,F}$, $D_{1,F}$, respectively. If we consider the total family $\widetilde{D_F} = \{D_{u,b,F_b}\}_{(u,b)\in[0,1]\times S}$, then there exists a total generalized spectral section $\widetilde{P} = \{P_{u,b}\}_{(u,b)\in[0,1]\times S}$. Let P_u be the restriction of $\widetilde{P}$ over $\{u\}\times S$.

Definition 2.4. The higher spectral flow $\mathrm{sf}\{(D_{0,F},Q_0),(D_{1,F},Q_1)\}$ between the pairs $(D_{0,F},Q_0)$ and $(D_{1,F},Q_1)$ is an element in $K(S)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{sf}\{(D_{0,F},Q_0),(D_{1,F},Q_1)\} = [Q_1-P_1]-[Q_0-P_0] \in K(S). \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 2.5. It can be shown by some elementary arguments concerning Fredholm families that the definition is independent of the choice of the total generalized section $\widetilde{P}$; see [11, pp. 440-441] and [3, Section 3].

2.3 Toeplitz families and higher spectral flows

We continue in the notations of the previous subsection.

Let $D = \{D_b\}_{b\in S}$ be a family of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators imposed with the local boundary condition $F = \{F_b\}_{b\in S}$.

Under Basic Assumption 2.2, we can pick a spectral section $P = \{P_b\}_{b\in S}$ of the family $D_F = \{D_{b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$.

Let $g : M \to U(N)$ be a smooth map from M to the unitary group $U(N)$. Then g can be viewed as an automorphism of the trivial complex vector bundle $\mathbb{C}^N|_M$ over M. For any $b\in S$, g induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^N|_{Y_b}$. Thus for any $b\in S$, g induces a bounded map g_b from

$$\mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b \otimes E_b \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$$

to itself by acting as an identity on $\mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b \otimes E_b)$.

Also it is clear that P_b induces a map on $\mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b \otimes E_b \otimes \mathbb{C}^N)$ by acting as an identity on sections of $\mathbb{C}^N$. We still note this map by P_b.

Definition 2.6 (Compare with [11, Definition 4.1])**.** The Toeplitz family $T_{g,F} = \{T_{g,F,b}\}_{b\in S}$ is a family of Toeplitz operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g,F,b} = P_b g_b P_b : P_b\, \mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b \otimes E_b \otimes \mathbb{C}^N) \\ \longrightarrow P_b\, \mathbf{H}^0(Y_b, S(TY)_b \otimes E_b \otimes \mathbb{C}^N). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We define the conjugation $D_g = \{D_{g,b}\}_{b\in S}$ of D by g as in [11, (4.7)], i.e., set for any $b \in S$,

$$D_{g,b} = g_b D_b g_b^{-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

Clearly, D_g is also a family of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators. We denote by $D_{g,F} = \{D_{g,b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}$ the realization of D_g imposed with the local boundary condition F. By Definition 2.1, $gPg^{-1} = \{g_b P_b g_b^{-1}\}_{b\in S}$ gives a spectral section of $D_{g,F}$.

By (2.13), $D_{g,b,F_b} - D_{b,F_b}$ is D_{b,F_b}-compact for $b \in S$; see [15, Chapter IV.1.3] for the definition of relatively compactness. It follows from [20, Corollary 3.5] that $gPg^{-1} - P$ is a family of compact operators.

Therefore, $T_{g,F}$ is a family of Fredholm operators[1]. As a consequence, it defines an index bundle

$$\mathrm{Ind}(T_{g,F}) = [gPg^{-1} - P] \in K(S). \tag{2.14}$$

As in the proof of [11, Proposition 4.3(i)], we know that the right hand side of (2.14) does not depend on the choice of P.

As in [11, Section 4], the index bundle of the Toeplitz family can be interpreted via higher spectral flow.

For any $0 \le u \le 1$, set

$$D_{u,b} = (1-u)D_b + uD_{g,b}. \tag{2.15}$$

Then we get a curve $D_u = \{D_{u,b}\}_{b\in S}$, $u \in [0,1]$ of families of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators. Imposing the local boundary condition F on the curve (2.15), we get a curve

$$D_{u,F} = \{D_{u,b,F_b}\}_{b\in S}, u \in [0,1]$$

[1]Indeed,

$$T_{g,F}T_{g^{-1},F} - P = P(gPg^{-1} - P)P,$$

which clearly is a family of compact operators.

of families of self-adjoint Fredholm operators. By Definition 2.4, we have a higher spectral flow

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{(D_F, P), (D_{g,F}, gPg^{-1})\right\} \in K(S). \tag{2.16}$$

Since D_{u,b,F_b} is D_{b,F_b}-compact for $b \in S$ and $u \in [0,1]$, by [20, Corollary 3.5], P is a generalized spectral section in the sense of Definition 2.3 for the total family $\widetilde{D_F} = \{D_{u,b,F_b}\}_{(u,b)\in[0,1]\times S}$. Thus, by Definition 2.4, we have

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{(D_F, P), (D_{g,F}, gPg^{-1})\right\} = [gPg^{-1} - P]. \tag{2.17}$$

As explained below (2.14), the right hand side of (2.17) does not depend on the choice of P. We will denote it by $\mathrm{sf}\{D_F, D_{g,F}\}$.

Theorem 2.7 (Compare with [11, Theorem 4.4])**.** *The following identity holds in $K(S)$,*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(T_{g,F}) = \mathrm{sf}\{D_F, D_{g,F}\}. \tag{2.18}$$

The next proposition concerning the homotopy invariance of the higher spectral flow is inspired by [14, Proposition 2.1].

Let D_s, $s \in [0,1]$ be a curve of families of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators. Let $g_t : M \to U(N)$, $t \in [0,1]$ be a curve of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^N|_M$. For $s, t \in [0,1]$, we denote by D_{s,g_t} the conjugation of D_s by g_t as in (2.13).

Let E_+ and E_- denote the positive and the negative eigenbundles of F, respectively. Set $\widetilde{F} = \mathrm{id}|_{E_+} \oplus (-\mathrm{id}|_{E_-})$. Then

$$F_v = v\widetilde{F} + (1-v)F,\ v \in [0,1] \tag{2.19}$$

defines a curve of families of invertible and self-adjoint endomorphisms of $\{E|_{Z_b}\}_{b\in S}$, which amounts to a curve of local boundary conditions.

For $s, t, v \in [0,1]$, we impose the local boundary condition F_v on the curve

$$D_{s,u}(t) = (1-u)D_s + uD_{s,g_t},\ u \in [0,1], \tag{2.20}$$

and get a higher spectral flow $\mathrm{sf}\{D_{s,F_v}, D_{s,g_t,F_v}\}$.

Proposition 2.8. *The following identity holds in $K(S)$,*

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D_{0,F}, D_{0,g_0,F}\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D_{1,F}, D_{1,g_1,F}\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D_{1,\widetilde{F}}, D_{1,g_1,\widetilde{F}}\}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. By [6, Theorem 7.16], we know that the Fredholm families

$$(u, s, t, v) \longmapsto D_{s,u,F_v}(t) = (1-u)D_{s,F_v} + uD_{s,g_t,F_v} \tag{2.22}$$

are (fiberwise) continuous in the graph topology. Thus, we can easily modify the argument in the proof of [14, Proposition 2.1] where the case of single operators is treated to the families case, and deduce (2.21). □

With the help of the preceding proposition we can now prove the following families extension of an intermediary result that appears in the second proof of [14, Corollary 3.4].

We write $F > 0$, if for any $b \in S$, all the eigenvalues of F_b are positive.

Proposition 2.9. *If $F > 0$ or $-F > 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{D_F, D_{g,F}\right\} = 0 \quad \textit{in } K(S). \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. Let γ be the grading operator on $S(TY) \otimes E$ such that

$$\gamma|_{S_+(TY)\otimes E} = \mathrm{id}, \quad \gamma|_{S_-(TY)\otimes E} = -\mathrm{id}.$$

We claim the fiberwise invertibility of the curve $(D+\gamma)_{u,F}$, $u \in [0,1]$ obtained by imposing the local boundary condition F on the curve

$$\begin{aligned}(D+\gamma)_u &= (1-u)(D+\gamma) + ug(D+\gamma)g^{-1} \\ &= D_u + \gamma, \quad u \in [0,1].\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

It follows the claim that the associated higher spectral flow vanishes. Due to Proposition 2.8, (2.23) is proved.

We now turn to the proof of our claim.

For $b \in S$, $s = s_+ \oplus s_- \in \ker\left((D+\gamma)_{u,b,F_b}\right)$, we have

$$(D_{u,b}+\gamma)s_+ = 0, \quad (D_{u,b}+\gamma)s_- = 0, \quad s_-|_{Z_b} = JF_b s_+|_{Z_b}. \tag{2.25}$$

Applying Green's formula [7, Proposition 3.4(b)], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle (D_{u,b}+\gamma)(s_+ \oplus 0), 0 \oplus s_- \right\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} \\ &\qquad - \left\langle s_+ \oplus 0, (D_{u,b}+\gamma)(0 \oplus s_-) \right\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} \\ &= -\left\langle Js_+|_{Z_b}, s_-|_{Z_b} \right\rangle_{L^2(Z_b)},\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(Y_b)}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(Z_b)}$ we mean the L^2 inner products naturally defined on Y_b and Z_b, respectively. Combining (2.25) with (2.26), we infer that $s_+|_{Z_b} = s_-|_{Z_b} = 0$, since F_b (or $-F_b$) is positive.

Now that $s|_{Z_b} = 0$, it follows from Green's formula that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\langle D_{u,b}s, \gamma s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} + \big\langle \gamma s, D_{u,b}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} \\ &\qquad = \big\langle \big(D_{u,b}\gamma + \gamma D_{u,b}\big)s, s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

which is equal to zero, since $D_{u,b}$ obviously anticommutes with γ. On the other hand, it is trivial to see that

$$\big\langle (D_{u,b}+\gamma)s, (D_{u,b}+\gamma)s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} = 0. \tag{2.28}$$

By substituting (2.27) into (2.28), we obtain

$$\big\langle D_{u,b}s, D_{u,b}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} + \big\langle \gamma s, \gamma s\big\rangle_{L^2(Y_b)} = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

which implies $s|_{Y_b} = 0$ as claimed. □

3 Main result and its Proof

3.1 Main Result

We first recall some notations that we use in last section.

Let $\pi : M \to S$ be a smooth fibration with the typical fiber an even dimensional compact spin manifold Y with boundary Z and S compact.

Let g^{TY} be a metric on TY, and (E, g^E) be a complex Hermitian vector bundle over M endowed with a Hermitian connection ∇^E.

Recall that $D^Y = \{D_b^Y\}_{b\in S}$ is a family of fiberwise twisted Dirac operators on $S(TY)\otimes E$ over $\{Y_b\}_{b\in S}$ defined in (2.3). Let D_g^Y be the conjugation of D^Y by g defined as in (2.13) for a smooth map $g : M \to U(N)$.

Given a family $F = \{F_b\}_{b\in S}$ of invertible and self-adjoint endomorphisms of $\{E|_{Z_b}\}_{b\in S}$ which amounts to a local boundary condition, as in (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain the self-adjoint realizations D_F^Y of D^Y and $D_{g,F}^Y$ of D_g^Y. Furthermore, we have a higher spectral flow $\mathrm{sf}\{D_F^Y, D_{g,F}^Y\}$ as in Section 2.3, provided that we make Basic Assumption 2.2.

Let Π_+ and Π_- be the bundle projections on to the positive eigenbundle E_+ and the negative eigenbundle E_- of F, respectively. Set

$$g^{E_\pm} = g^E|_{E_\pm}\,,\ \nabla^{E_+} = \Pi_+\nabla^E|_Z\,\Pi_+\,,\ \nabla^{E_-} = \Pi_-\nabla^E|_Z\,\Pi_-\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\nabla^{E_\pm}$ preserve the Hermitian metrics $g^{E_\pm}$ on $E_\pm$, respectively.

Recall that the connection $\nabla^{S(TZ)}$ on TZ is introduced below (2.4) and D^Z is a family of self-adjoint twisted Dirac operators on $S(TZ)\otimes E$ defined

in terms of $\nabla^{S(TZ)}$ and ∇^E. Let $B^Z_\pm$ be the families of self-adjoint twisted Dirac operators on $S(TZ)\otimes E_\pm$ defined using $\nabla^{S(TZ)}$ and $\nabla^{E_\pm}$ in a similar way to D^Z. Set

$$B^Z = B^Z_+ \oplus B^Z_-. \tag{3.2}$$

It is straightforward to show that B^Z differs by a family of differential operators of zeroth order from D^Z.

We define the conjugations $B^Z_{\pm,g|_{\partial M}}$ and $D^Z_{g|_{\partial M}}$ as in (2.13). Set

$$B^Z_{\pm,g|_{\partial M}} = g|_{\partial M} B^Z_\pm g^{-1}|_{\partial M},\ D^Z_{g|_{\partial M}} = g|_{\partial M} D^Z g^{-1}|_{\partial M}. \tag{3.3}$$

As will be explained in Section 3.4, under Basic Assumption 2.2, the spectral sections for $B^Z_\pm$, D^Z always exist. By [11, Definition 1.5], we have higher spectral flows

$$\mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_+, B^Z_{+,g|_{\partial M}}\},\ \mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_-, B^Z_{-,g|_{\partial M}}\} \text{ and } \mathrm{sf}\{D^Z, D^Z_{g|_{\partial M}}\}$$

associated to the curves

$$B^Z_{\pm,u} = (1-u)B^Z_\pm + uB^Z_{\pm,g|_{\partial M}},\ u\in[0,1], \tag{3.4}$$

$$D^Z_u = (1-u)D^Z + uD^Z_{g|_{\partial M}},\ u\in[0,1], \tag{3.5}$$

of families of self-adjoint twisted Dirac type operators over $\{Z_b\}_{b\in S}$.

We are now in a position to state our main result which is a generalization of [14, Theorems 3.3] to the families case.

Theorem 3.1. *The following identity holds in $K(S)$,*

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D^Y_F, D^Y_{g,F}\} = \mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_+, B^Z_{+,g|_{\partial M}}\} = -\,\mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_-, B^Z_{-,g|_{\partial M}}\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. The proof will be given in Sections 3.3-3.4. □

Corollary 3.2 (Cobordism invariance of higher spectral flow)**.** *One has,*

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D^Z, D^Z_{g|_{\partial M}}\} = 0 \quad \textit{in } K(S). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Since D^Z and B have the same principal symbol, (3.7) is a direct consequence of (3.6) and [11, Proposition 4.3(ii)]. □

We now indicate some characteristic forms that we will use.

Recall that ∇^{TZ} is a connection on TZ defined below (2.4). Let R^{TZ} be the curvature of ∇^{TZ}. We define $\widehat{A}(TZ,\nabla^{TZ})$ as in [23, (1.19)],

$$\widehat{A}(TZ,\nabla^{TZ}) = \det\left(\left(\frac{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}R^{TZ}}{\sinh(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi}R^{TZ})}\right)^{1/2}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Let R^{E_+} be the curvature of ∇^{E_+}, and $\mathrm{ch}(E_+, \nabla^{E_+})$ be the Chern character form defined as in [23, (1.22)],

$$\mathrm{ch}(E_+, \nabla^{E_+}) = \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\exp\Big(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{E_+}\Big)\Big]. \tag{3.9}$$

We also define $\mathrm{ch}(E_-, \nabla^{E_-})$ in a similar way.

Let d be the trivial Hermitian connection on $\mathbb{C}^N|_M$. Let $\mathrm{ch}(g, d)$ denote the odd Chern character form of g defined as in [23, (1.50)] (see also [13, Section 1]),

$$\mathrm{ch}(g, d) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Big)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{n!}{(2n+1)!} \mathrm{Tr}\big[(g^{-1}dg)^n\big]. \tag{3.10}$$

Corollary 3.3. *The following identity holds in $H^*(S)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{sf}\{D_F^Y, D_{g,F}^Y\}) &= -\int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) \,\mathrm{ch}(E_+, \nabla^{E_+}) \,\mathrm{ch}(g, d) \\ &= \int_Z \widehat{A}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) \,\mathrm{ch}(E_-, \nabla^{E_-}) \,\mathrm{ch}(g, d). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Combining [11, Theorem 4.9] with Theorem 3.1, we get (3.11). □

3.2 A reduction of the problem to the product case

We show that we can reduce the computation of the higher spectral flow to the situation where all objects (metrics, connections, etc.) have product structure decompositions near the boundary.

In the rest of this paper, we will suppress the subscript b for $b \in S$. All the constructions below are understood fiberwise unless stated otherwise. Also, while being fiberwise, all the procedures will be continuous with respect to $b \in S$, as will be clear from the context.

Let inj^Y denote the injectivity radius of (Y, g^{TY}). Pick $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{16}\,\mathrm{inj}^Y$, and fix it once for all. We use the inward geodesic flow to identify a neighborhood of Z in Y with the collar $Z \times [0, 16\epsilon)$.

For $0 \leq \mu < \nu < 16\epsilon$, we set

$$Z_{[\mu,\nu]} = Z \times [\mu, \nu], \quad Y(\nu) = Y - Z_{[0,\nu]}. \tag{3.12}$$

Let ψ be a smooth function on Y such that

$$\psi|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} = 1, \quad 0 \leq \psi|_{[12\epsilon,14\epsilon]} \leq 1, \quad \psi|_{Y(14\epsilon)} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Z_{[0,15\epsilon]} \to Z$ denote the obvious projection onto the first factor. Recall that $g^{TZ} = g^{TY}|_{TZ}$ and that $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ is the inward unit normal vector field perpendicular to Z. Set

$$g'^{TY} = \psi\,(\mathrm{pr}_1^* g^{TZ} \oplus dr^2) + (1-\psi) g^{TY}. \tag{3.14}$$

We infer from (3.14) that the connection ∇'^{TY} on TY defined through g'^{TY} as in Section 2.1 has a fiberwise product structure decomposition over $Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}$, i.e.,

$$\nabla'^{TY}|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\nabla'^{TY}|_Z). \tag{3.15}$$

We trivialize $E|_{Z_{[0,15\epsilon]}}$ over $Z_{[0,15\epsilon]}$ by parallel transport with respect to ∇^E along the geodesics perpendicular to Z. Set

$$g'^E = \psi\,\mathrm{pr}_1^*(g^E|_Z) + (1-\psi) g^E. \tag{3.16}$$

Take any Hermitian connection ∇_1^E on (E, g'^E), and set

$$\nabla'^E = \psi\,\mathrm{pr}_1^*(\nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^{E_-}) + (1-\psi)\nabla_1^E. \tag{3.17}$$

Then ∇'^E preserves g'^E.

Let $\mathbf{H}^0(Y, S(TY)\otimes E)$ and $\mathbf{H}'^0(Y, S(TY)\otimes E)$ be the 0-th Sobolev bundles of $S(TY)\otimes E$ over Y associated to the metrics induced by (g^{TY}, g^E) and (g'^{TY}, g'^E), respectively.

By [6, Lemma 2.1], we can construct a family $\Psi = \{\Psi_b\}_{b\in S}$ of invertible even-parity endomorphisms of $S(TY)\otimes E$ such that $\Psi|_Z = \mathrm{id}$, which extends to a family of isometries

$$\Psi : \mathbf{H}^0(Y, S(TY)\otimes E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}'^0(Y, S(TY)\otimes E). \tag{3.18}$$

Using g'^{TY}, g'^E and ∇'^E, we define a family of fiberwise twisted Dirac operators $D'^Y = \{D_b'^Y\}_{b\in S}$ on $S(TY)\otimes E$ as in (2.3). Set

$$D' = \Psi^{-1}\circ D'^Y \circ \Psi.$$

Then from [6, Lemma 2.1], we see that D' is a family of formally self-adjoint Dirac type operators on $S(TY)\otimes E$ endowed with the metric induced by g^{TY} and g^E. Furthermore, since Ψ is fiberwise unitary and $\Psi|_Z = \mathrm{id}$, combining with Proposition 2.8, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D_F'^Y, D_{g,F}'^Y\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D'_F, D'_{g,F}\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D_F^Y, D_{g,F}^Y\} \quad \text{in } K(S). \tag{3.19}$$

Let A be a smooth map from $Z_{[0,15\epsilon]}$ to $\mathfrak{u}(N)$, the Lie algebra of $U(N)$, which is such that

$$g(z,r) = g(z,0)\exp A(z,r), \text{ for } (z,r) \in Z_{[0,15\epsilon]}. \tag{3.20}$$

Then ψA clearly extends to Y, and

$$g_t = g\exp(-t\psi A),\ t \in [0,1] \tag{3.21}$$

defines a curve of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^N|_M$ such that

$$g_0 = g,\quad g_1\big|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(g|_Z). \tag{3.22}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.8 that

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D_F'^Y, D_{g,F}'^Y\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D_F'^Y, D_{g_1,F}'^Y\} \quad \text{in } K(S). \tag{3.23}$$

Combining (3.19) with (3.23), we see that

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D_F^Y, D_{g,F}^Y\} = \mathrm{sf}\{D_F'^Y, D_{g_1,F}'^Y\} \quad \text{in } K(S). \tag{3.24}$$

From the preceding discussion, it suffice to work in the case where all objects involved have product structure decompositions near the boundary. Specifically, we will always make the following assumption in the remainder of this paper.

Assumption 3.4. The following equations hold on $Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{TY}|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} &= \mathrm{pr}_1^* g^{TZ} \oplus dr^2,\quad g^E|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(g^E|_Z), \\ \nabla^E|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} &= \mathrm{pr}_1^*(\nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^{E_-}),\quad g\big|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^*(g|_Z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

3.3 A splitting formula for the higher spectral flow

We use $J = c(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})$ to identify $S_-(TY)$ with $S_+(TY)$ over $Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}$ via the map

$$S_-(TY)|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}} \simeq S_+(TY)|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}},\quad s_- \longmapsto -Js_-. \tag{3.26}$$

Then the local boundary condition defined by F in (2.5) takes the form under identification (3.26):

$$\big\{s_+ \oplus s_- \,\big|\, s_\pm \in \mathbf{H}^1(Y_b, S_+(TY)_b \otimes E_b), s_-|_{Z_b} = F_b s_+|_{Z_b}\big\},\ b \in S. \tag{3.27}$$

Recall that $S(TZ)$ is identified with $S_+(TY)|_Z$ in Section 2.1. It follows from Assumption 3.4 that B^Z can be thought of as a family of differential operators acting on $(S_+(TY)\otimes E)|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}}$, which is constant along the geodesics perpendicular to Z.

By Assumption 3.4 and (3.26), we will regard the restriction of D^Y on $Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}$ as a family of operators which acts on

$$\big(S_+(TY)\otimes E\oplus S_+(TY)\otimes E\big)\big|_{Z_{[0,12\epsilon]}}$$

in the following way:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & D^Y_- J\\ -JD^Y_+ & 0\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+B^Z\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+B^Z & 0\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.28}$$

For $0\le\mu<\nu\le 12\epsilon$, as at the beginning of Section 2.1, given any vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ endowed with a metric $g^{\mathcal{E}}$ and a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ over M, we denote by $\mathbf{H}^p(Z,\mathcal{E})$, $\mathbf{H}^p(Z_{[\mu,\nu]},\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathbf{H}^p(Y(\nu),\mathcal{E})$, $p\ge 0$ the p-th Sobolev bundles associated to the restrictions $(\mathcal{E},g^{\mathcal{E}},\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})|_Z$, $(\mathcal{E},g^{\mathcal{E}},\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})|_{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}$ and $(\mathcal{E},g^{\mathcal{E}},\nabla^{\mathcal{E}})|_{Y(\nu)}$, respectively.

Let $D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}$ be the restriction of D^Y to $Z_{[\mu,\nu]}$, and $D_g^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}$ be the conjugation of $D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}$ by g defined as in (2.13). If we impose the local boundary condition which is defined by families of self-adjoint and invertible endomorphisms F_1 of $E|_{Z\times\{\mu\}}$ and F_2 of $E|_{Z\times\{\nu\}}$ on the curve

$$D_u^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}=(1-u)D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}+uD_g^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}},\ u\in[0,1], \tag{3.29}$$

then as in Section 2.3, we have a higher spectral flow

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}_{\{F_1,F_2\}},D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}_{g_1,\{F_1,F_2\}}\right\}$$

associated to the curve $D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}_{u,\{F_1,F_2\}}$, $u\in[0,1]$ of realizations of (3.29), provided that the K^1-index of $D^{Z_{[\mu,\nu]}}_{\{F_1,F_2\}}$ vanishes.

Recall that $\widetilde{F}=\mathrm{id}|_{E_+}\oplus(-\mathrm{id}|_{E_-})$.

The following splitting formula for the higher spectral flow enables us to reduce the problem to the computation on a family of finite cylinders.

Proposition 3.5. *The following identity holds in $K(S)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sf}\{D^Y_F,D^Y_{g,F}\}&=\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}},D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}\\&=\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},-\mathrm{id}\}},D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},-\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. Let ξ be a smooth function on $[2\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ such that

$$\xi|_{[2\epsilon,3\epsilon]} = 1, \quad 0 \leq \xi|_{[3\epsilon,5\epsilon]} \leq 1, \quad \xi|_{[5\epsilon,6\epsilon]} = 0$$

and that $\gamma = (1-\xi^2)^{1/2}$ is also smooth. We extend ξ to $Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}$ by equaling 1 on $Z_{[0,2\epsilon]}$ and to $Y(2\epsilon)$ by equaling 0 on $Y(6\epsilon)$. Thus γ also extends to $Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}$ and $Y(2\epsilon)$.

As in [10, (3.11)], we consider the Hilbert bundles

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \mathbf{H}^0(Y, S(TY)\otimes E) \oplus \mathbf{H}^0(Z_{[2\epsilon,6\epsilon]}, S(TY)\otimes E), \\ H_1 &= \mathbf{H}^0(Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}, S(TY)\otimes E) \oplus \mathbf{H}^0(Y(2\epsilon), S(TY)\otimes E). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Following Bunke [8] (see also [10]), we define the operators a, b, c, d : $H_0 \to H_1$ fiberwise by

(i) a is the multiplication by ξ on Y followed by the transfer to $Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}$,

(ii) b is the multiplication by ξ on $Z_{[2\epsilon,6\epsilon]}$ followed by the transfer to $Y(2\epsilon)$,

(iii) c is the multiplication by γ on Y followed by the transfer to $Y(2\epsilon)$,

(iv) d is the multiplication by γ on $Z_{[2\epsilon,6\epsilon]}$ followed by the transfer to $Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}$.

Here the operator b should not be confused with a point in the base manifold.

Set as in [8],

$$W = a + b + c - d : H_0 \to H_1. \tag{3.32}$$

Lemma 3.6 ([8]). *The operator $W : H_0 \to H_1$ is unitary.*

Let $D^{Y(2\epsilon)}$ be the restriction of D^Y to $Y(2\epsilon)$, and $D^{Y(2\epsilon)}_{\mathrm{id}}$ be the realization of $D^{Y(2\epsilon)}$ imposed with the local boundary condition defined by the identity endomorphism of $E|_{Z\times\{2\epsilon\}}$.

Set as in [8] (see also [10, (3.15)-(3.16)]),

$$\mathcal{D} = D^Y_{\widetilde{F}} \oplus D^{Z_{[2\epsilon,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}, \ \mathcal{D}' = W^*\Big(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\,\mathrm{id}\}} \oplus D^{Y(2\epsilon)}_{\mathrm{id}}\Big)W. \tag{3.33}$$

Then $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ have the same domain and furthermore one verifies easily that the operator (see [8] and [10, (3.17)])

$$G = \mathcal{D}' - \mathcal{D} \tag{3.34}$$

is a family of differential operators of zeroth order. In particular, due to Basic Assumption 2.2, it follows that the index bundles of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ vanish in $K^1(S)$. Consequently, the index bundle of $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\,\mathrm{id}\}}$ vanishes in $K^1(S)$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_g$ and $\mathcal{D}'_g$ be the conjugations of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ by g defined as in (2.13), respectively. Applying Proposition 2.8, we see that

$$\mathrm{sf}\{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_g\}=\mathrm{sf}\{\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D}'_g\}\quad \text{in } K(S). \tag{3.35}$$

Observe that g regarded as a fiberwise bounded operator commutes with W. From (3.33), (3.35) and Proposition 2.9, we get

$$\mathrm{sf}\{D^Y_{\widetilde{F}},D^Y_{g,\widetilde{F}}\}=\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}},D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}\quad \text{in } K(S). \tag{3.36}$$

Combining with Proposition 2.8, we obtain the first equality in (3.30).

By replacing the boundary condition id in (3.33)-(3.36) by $-\mathrm{id}$ and using the same argument, we get the second equality in (3.30). □

3.4 Computation on finite cylinders

Theorem 3.7. *The following identities hold in $K(S)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}},D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}&=-\mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_-,B^Z_{-,g|_{\partial M}}\},\\ \mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},-\mathrm{id}\}},D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},-\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}&=\mathrm{sf}\{B^Z_+,B^Z_{+,g|_{\partial M}}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. According to (3.2), (3.28) and the definition of $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}$, we can split $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}$ with respect to the splitting $E|_Z=E_+\oplus E_-$ as

$$D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}=D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_+\oplus D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_-. \tag{3.38}$$

Let $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{+,g}$ and $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,g}$ be the conjugations of $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_+$ and $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_-$ by g defined as in (2.13), respectively.

As in (2.15), we consider the curves

$$D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\pm,u}=(1-u)D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\pm}+uD^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\pm,g},\ u\in[0,1]. \tag{3.39}$$

We impose on $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{+,u}$, $u\in[0,1]$ the local boundary condition which is defined by the endomorphisms $\mathrm{id}|_{E_+}$ of $E_+|_Z$ and $\mathrm{id}|_{E_+}$ of $E_+|_{Z\times\{6\epsilon\}}$, and impose on $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u}$, $u\in[0,1]$ the local boundary condition which is defined by the endomorphisms $-\mathrm{id}|_{E_-}$ of $E_-|_Z$ and $\mathrm{id}|_{E_-}$ of $E_-|_{Z\times\{6\epsilon\}}$. Let $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{+,u,\{\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}$ and $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}$ denote the corresponding realizations. Then by (3.29), (3.38) and (3.39), we have the splitting of the curves

$$D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{u,\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}=D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{+,u,\{\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}\oplus D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}},\ u\in[0,1]. \tag{3.40}$$

Due to the vanishing of the K^1-index of $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}$ and Proposition 2.9, we obtain the vanishing of the K^1-index of $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}$ and the splitting of the higher spectral flows associated to (3.40), which implies

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}, D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{g,\{\widetilde{F},\mathrm{id}\}}\right\} = \mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}, D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,g,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}\right\}. \tag{3.41}$$

We proceed to compute the term on the right hand side of (3.41).

Using (3.4), (3.25), (3.28), (3.38) and (3.39), we regard $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u}$, $u\in[0,1]$ as families of operators acting on $\big(S_+(TY)\otimes E\oplus S_+(TY)\otimes E\big)\big|_{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}$ like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+B^Z_{-,u} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+B^Z_{-,u} & 0 \end{bmatrix},\ u\in[0,1]. \tag{3.42}$$

Moreover, the local boundary condition imposed on $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u}$, $u\in[0,1]$ takes the form under identification (3.26):

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\{s_+\oplus s_-\ \Big|\ & s_\pm\in\mathbf{H}^1(Z_{[0,6\epsilon]},S_+(TY)\otimes E),\\ & s_-|_Z=-s_+|_Z,\ s_-|_{Z\times\{6\epsilon\}}=-s_+|_{Z\times\{6\epsilon\}}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

For $s\in\mathbf{H}^1(Z,S_+(TY)\otimes E_-)$, set

$$\widetilde{s}(r,z)=s(z)\oplus(-Js(z)),\ \text{for } r\in[0,6\epsilon],z\in Z. \tag{3.44}$$

Then by (3.43) and (3.44), we have a naturally defined map

$$\imath:\mathbf{H}^1(Z,S_+(TY)\otimes E_-)\longrightarrow\mathrm{dom}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}\}}\right),\quad s\longmapsto\widetilde{s}. \tag{3.45}$$

In what follows, for an operator $\mathcal{A}$, we will denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{A})$ the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$. The computations below are mainly inspired by [22, Section 6].

We fix any $u\in[0,1]$. Observe that $B^Z_{-,u}$ is a family of elliptic self-adjoint differential operators of first order. By [16, Theorem III.5.8], we know that the fiberwise spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(B^Z_{-,u})$ is discrete and each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity. Let $\{\lambda_i(u)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ denote all the fiberwise eigenvalues of $B^Z_{-,u}$ which are counted with multiplicities. Then we can pick a fiberwise L^2-orthonormal basis $\{s_i(u)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of $\mathbf{H}^0(Z,S_+(TY)\otimes E_-)$, which consists of fiberwise smooth sections and is such that

$$B^Z_{-,u}s_i(u)=\lambda_i(u)s_i(u),\ \text{for } i\in\mathbb{N}.$$

As explained in Section 2.1, the fiberwise spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right)$ is discrete and each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity. We denote by H_λ the fiberwise λ-eigenspace for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right)$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right)$, $s = s_+ \oplus s_- \in H_\lambda$, we write

$$s_+ \oplus (-Js_-) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(r)s_i(u) \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{f}_i(r)s_i(u). \tag{3.46}$$

Using (3.42), (3.43) and (3.46), we infer from $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}s = \lambda s$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}f_i + \lambda_i f_i = \lambda\widetilde{f}_i,\ -\tfrac{\partial}{\partial r}\widetilde{f}_i + \lambda_i\widetilde{f}_i = \lambda f_i, \\ f_i(r) + \widetilde{f}_i(r) = 0,\ \text{when } r = 0, 6\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

From the first line in (3.47), we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r^2}(f_i + \widetilde{f}_i) = (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda^2)(f_i + \widetilde{f}_i). \tag{3.48}$$

Therefore, we have the following cases:

(i) either $f_i(r) = \widetilde{f}_i(r) = 0$,

(ii) or $\lambda = -\lambda_i$, $f_i(r) = \mathrm{const}$, and $\widetilde{f}_i(r) = -f_i(r)$,

(iii) or $\lambda_i^2 - \lambda^2 = -\frac{n^2\pi^2}{36\epsilon^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$f_i(r) + \widetilde{f}_i(r) = \mathrm{const} \cdot \big(\exp\tfrac{\sqrt{-1}n\pi r}{6\epsilon} - \exp(-\tfrac{\sqrt{-1}n\pi r}{6\epsilon})\big).$$

Denote $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{c}} = \mathrm{Sp}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right) \Big\backslash \mathrm{Sp}\,(-B^Z_{-,u})$. Then from the discussions above, we have the fiberwise L^2-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{dom}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(-B^Z_{-,u})} H_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{c}}} H_\lambda. \tag{3.49}$$

Moreover, by case (ii) and (3.44)-(3.46), we know that

$$H_\lambda = \imath(\mathcal{H}_{-\lambda}),\ \text{for } \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(-B^Z_{-,u}), \tag{3.50}$$

where by $\mathcal{H}_\nu$ we mean the ν-eigenspace for $\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}\,(B^Z_{-,u})$. Therefore,

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(-B^Z_{-,u})} H_\lambda = \imath\Big(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(B^Z_{-,u})} \mathcal{H}_\lambda\Big) = \imath\Big(\mathbf{H}^1(Z, S_+(TY)\otimes E_-)\Big) \tag{3.51}$$

Denote $H^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{c}}} H_{\lambda}$. From (3.49) and (3.51), we get the fiberwise L^2-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{dom}\left(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right) = \imath\Big(\mathbf{H}^1(Z, S_+(TY)\otimes E_-)\Big) \oplus H^{\perp}. \tag{3.52}$$

We emphasize here that the decomposition (3.52) does not depend on the choice of $\{s_i(u)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Corresponding to (3.52), we can split $D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}$ intrinsically as

$$D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,u,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}} = \big(-\,B^{Z}_{-,u}\big) \oplus \mathcal{A}_u\,, \tag{3.53}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_u$ is a family of invertible operators. Varying the parameter u in $[0,1]$, we get the splitting of the curves associated to (3.53).

From (3.53), we infer that

$$\mathrm{Ind}\,\big(D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\big) = -\,\mathrm{Ind}(B^{Z}_{-}) \ \text{ in } K^1(S). \tag{3.54}$$

Consequently, the spectral section for B^{Z}_{-} always exists. Moreover, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{sf}\left\{D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}, D^{Z_{[0,6\epsilon]}}_{-,g,\{-\mathrm{id},\,\mathrm{id}\}}\right\} = -\,\mathrm{sf}\{B^{Z}_{-}, B^{Z}_{-,g|_{\partial M}}\}. \tag{3.55}$$

Combining (3.41) with (3.55), we get the first line in (3.37). The proof of the second line in (3.37) follows in a similar manner. □

Combining Proposition 3.5 with Theorem 3.7, we get Theorem 3.1.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 79(1):71–99, 1976.

[2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:119–138, 1971.

[3] J. Avron, R. Seiler, and B. Simon. The index of a pair of projections. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 120(1):220–237, 1994.

[4] Moulay-Tahar Benameur and Alan L. Carey. Higher spectral flow and an entire bivariant JLO cocycle. *J. K-Theory*, 11(1):183–232, 2013.

[5] Jean-Michel Bismut. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83(1):91–151, 1985.

[6] Bernhelm Booß-Bavnbek, Matthias Lesch, and Chaofeng Zhu. The Calderón projection: new definition and applications. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 59(7):784–826, 2009.

[7] Bernhelm Booß-Bavnbek and Krzysztof P. Wojciechowski. *Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[8] Ulrich Bunke. On the gluing problem for the η-invariant. *J. Differential Geom.*, 41(2):397–448, 1995.

[9] Xianzhe Dai and Weiping Zhang. Higher spectral flow. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(1):93–102, 1996.

[10] Xianzhe Dai and Weiping Zhang. Splitting of the family index. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 182(2):303–317, 1996.

[11] Xianzhe Dai and Weiping Zhang. Higher spectral flow. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 157(2):432–469, 1998.

[12] Daniel S. Freed. Two index theorems in odd dimensions. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(2):317–329, 1998.

[13] Ezra Getzler. The odd Chern character in cyclic homology and spectral flow. *Topology*, 32(3):489–507, 1993.

[14] Alexander Gorokhovsky and Matthias Lesch. On the spectral flow for Dirac operators with local boundary conditions.
See http://arxiv.org/pdf/1310.0210v2.pdf.

[15] Tosio Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.

[16] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin Geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[17] E. Leichtnam and P. Piazza. Spectral sections and higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theory on Galois coverings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(1):17–58, 1998.

[18] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. A higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for the signature operator on Galois coverings. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 18(2):171–189, 2000.

[19] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. Dirac index classes and the noncommutative spectral flow. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 200(2):348–400, 2003.

[20] Matthias Lesch. The uniqueness of the spectral flow on spaces of unbounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators. In *Spectral Geometry of Manifolds with Boundary and Decomposition of Manifolds*, volume 366 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 193–224. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[21] Richard B. Melrose and Paolo Piazza. Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b-calculus. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(1):99–180, 1997.

[22] Marina Prokhorova. The spectral flow for Dirac operators on compact planar domains with local boundary conditions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 322(2):385–414, 2013.

[23] Weiping Zhang. *Lectures on Chern-Weil Theory and Witten Deformations*, volume 4 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.